

The primitive derivation and freeness of multi-Coxeter arrangements

Masahiko Yoshinaga *

May 5, 2002

Abstract

We will prove the freeness of multi-Coxeter arrangements by constructing a basis of the module of vector fields which contact to each reflecting hyperplanes with some multiplicities using K. Saito's theory of primitive derivation.

Key words: Hodge filtration; Finite reflection group; Coxeter arrangement; Adjoint quotient.

1 Introduction

Let V be a Euclidean space over $\mathbb{R}$ with finite dimension ℓ and inner product I . Let $W \subset O(V, I)$ be a finite irreducible reflection group and $\mathcal{A}$ the corresponding Coxeter arrangement i.e. the collection of all reflecting hyperplanes of W . For each $H \in \mathcal{A}$, we fix a defining equation $\alpha_H \in V^*$ of H .

In [5], H. Terao constructed a free basis of $\mathbb{R}[V]$ -module

$$D^m(\mathcal{A}) := \{ \delta \in \text{Der}_V \mid \delta \alpha_H \in (\alpha_H^m), \forall H \in \mathcal{A} \}, \quad (1)$$

($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). The purpose of this paper is to construct a basis by a simpler way using Saito's result and give a generalization.

For given multiplicity $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we say that the multi-Coxeter arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})}$ is free if the module

$$D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})}) := \{ \delta \in \text{Der}_V \mid \delta \alpha_H \in (\alpha_H^{\tilde{m}(H)}), \forall H \in \mathcal{A} \} \quad (2)$$

is a free $\mathbb{R}[V]$ -module [8]. Then our main result is

Theorem 1 *Let $\tilde{m}$ be a multiplicity satisfying $\tilde{m}(H) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose the multi-Coxeter arrangement $\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})}$ is free, then $\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m}+2k)}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) is also free, where the new multiplicity $\tilde{m} + 2k$ take value $\tilde{m}(H) + 2k$ at $H \in \mathcal{A}$. $\square$*

*RIMS, Kyoto university Kyoto, 606-8502 Japan, e-mail address: yosinaga@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

We construct a basis in Theorem 7.

We note that $\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})}$ is not necessarily free for $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. If we apply Theorem 1 for $\tilde{m}(H) \equiv 0$ or $\tilde{m}(H) \equiv 1$, we obtain the freeness of $D^{2k}(\mathcal{A})$ or $D^{2k+1}(\mathcal{A})$. Terao's basis is expected to coincide with that of ours.

The original motivation to study the module $D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})})$ came from the study of structures of the relative de Rham cohomology $H^*(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}/S}^\bullet)$ of the adjoint quotient map $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow S := \mathfrak{g}/\text{ad}(G)$ of a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In the case of *ADE* type Lie algebras, an isomorphism as $\mathbb{C}[S](= \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W)$ -modules (where $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra)

$$H^2(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}/S}^\bullet) \cong D^5(\mathcal{A})^W$$

is obtained [7].

But for *BCFG* type Lie algebras, because the W action on $\mathcal{A}$ is not transitive, $H^2(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}/S}^\bullet)$ is expected to be isomorphic to the module $D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})})^W$ with a suitable multiplicity $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which is not constant.

2 K. Saito's results on primitive derivation

In this section, we fix notations and recall some results.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in V^*$ be a basis of V^* and $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_\ell \in \mathbb{R}[V]^W$ be the homogeneous generators of W -invariant polynomials on V such that $\mathbb{R}[V]^W = \mathbb{R}[P_1, P_2, \dots, P_\ell]$ with

$$\deg P_1 \leq \deg P_2 \leq \dots \leq \deg P_\ell =: h.$$

Then it is classically known [1] that

$$|\mathcal{A}| = \frac{h\ell}{2} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\deg P_{\ell-1} < h. \tag{4}$$

It follows from (4) that the rational vector field (with pole along $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$) $D := \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\ell}$ on V is uniquely determined up to non-zero constant factor independently on the generators $P_1, \dots, P_\ell$. We call D the primitive vector field. If we fix generators $P_1, \dots, P_\ell$, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial P_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\ell-1}}$ are able to be considered as rational vector fields on V . Since the Jacobian is

$$Q := \prod_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha_H = \frac{\partial(P_1, \dots, P_\ell)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_\ell)},$$

D is symbolically expressed as

$$D = \frac{1}{Q} \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial P_{\ell-1}}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_\ell} & \dots & \frac{\partial P_{\ell-1}}{\partial x_\ell} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next we define an affine connection $\nabla : \text{Der}_V \times \text{Der}_V \rightarrow \text{Der}_V$.

Definition 2 For given $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \text{Der}_V$ with $\delta_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$,

$$\nabla_{\delta_1} \delta_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\delta_1 f_i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

□

The connection ∇ can be also characterized by the formula:

$$(\nabla_{\delta_1} \delta_2) \alpha = \delta_1(\delta_2 \alpha), \quad \forall \text{ linear function } \alpha \in V^*. \quad (5)$$

This formula plays an important role in our computations.

The derivation ∇_D by the primitive vector field is particularly important. Define $\mathbb{R}[V]^{W,\tau} := \{f \in \mathbb{R}[V]^W \mid Df = 0\} = \mathbb{R}[P_1, \dots, P_{\ell-1}]$. Then ∇_D is an $\mathbb{R}[V]^{W,\tau}$ -homomorphism. The following decomposition of $\text{Der}_V^W = D^1(\mathcal{A})^W$ has been obtained in [2],[3].

Theorem 3 Let $n \geq 1$, define

$$\mathcal{G}_n := \left\{ \delta \in \text{Der}_V^W \mid (\nabla_D)^n \delta \in \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{R}[V]^{W,\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_i} \right\},$$

then for every $n \geq 0$, ∇_D induces an $\mathbb{R}[V]^{W,\tau}$ -isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_n$ and

$$D^1(\mathcal{A})^W = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{G}_n.$$

If we define $\mathcal{H}^k := \bigoplus_{n \geq k} \mathcal{G}_n$, then it becomes a rank ℓ free $\mathbb{R}[V]^W$ -submodule of Der_V^W , which is called the Hodge filtration. □

In particular, $\nabla_D : \mathcal{H}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^1 = D^1(\mathcal{A})^W$ is an $\mathbb{R}[V]^{W,\tau}$ -isomorphism. All we need in the sequel is the existence of an injection $\nabla_D^{-1} : \text{Der}_V^W \rightarrow \text{Der}_V^W$.

3 Construction of a basis

We construct a basis of $D(\mathcal{A}^{(2k+\tilde{m})})$. The following is a key lemma which connects two filtrations, the Hodge filtration and the contact-order filtration.

Lemma 4 Let $\delta', \delta \in \text{Der}_V$ be vector fields on V and assume $\nabla_D \delta' = \delta$. Then for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, $\delta \alpha_H$ is divisible by α_H^m if and only if $\delta' \alpha_H$ is divisible by α_H^{m+2} .

Proof.

Suppose $\delta'\alpha = \alpha^{m'}f$ (where $\alpha = \alpha_H$). Then from (5),

$$(\nabla_D \delta')\alpha = D(\delta'\alpha) = \frac{1}{Q} \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_{\ell-1}}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(\alpha^{m'}f) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_\ell} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_{\ell-1}}{\partial x_\ell} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell}(\alpha^{m'}f) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Thus $\delta\alpha$ is divisible by $\alpha^{m'-2}$. Further, assume f is not divisible by α , let us show that $\delta\alpha$ is not divisible by $\alpha^{m'-1}$. Take a coordinate system $x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}, x_\ell$ such that $x_\ell = \alpha$, then it suffices to show that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_{\ell-1}}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial x_{\ell-1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial P_{\ell-1}}{\partial x_{\ell-1}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is not divisible by } \alpha.$$

After taking $\otimes \mathbb{C}$ and restricting to $H_{\mathbb{C}} := H \otimes \mathbb{C}$, determinant above can be interpreted as the Jacobian of the composed mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \quad H_{\mathbb{C}} &\rightarrow \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[V]^{W, \tau} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}, 0) &\mapsto (P_1, \dots, P_{\ell-1}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since the set

$$\{x \in V \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid P_1(x) = \cdots = P_{\ell-1}(x) = 0\}$$

is a union of some eigenspaces of Coxeter transformations in W , which are regular, that is, they intersect with $H_{\mathbb{C}}$ only at $0 \in H_{\mathbb{C}}$ [2],[3]. Hence $\phi^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \subset H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and the Jacobian of ϕ cannot be identically zero. $\square$

Remark 5 The precise expression of the Jacobian of ϕ is obtained in [4]. It is equal to the reduced defining equation of the union of hyperplanes $\bigcup_{H' \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\}} (H \cap H')$, on H .

Because of Theorem 3 the operator ∇_D^{-1} is well defined on $\text{Der}_V^W = D^1(\mathcal{A})^W$, we have

Lemma 6 *Let $\delta \in \text{Der}_V^W$ be a W -invariant vector field on V . Then for any $H \in \mathcal{A}$, $\delta\alpha_H$ is divisible by α_H^m if and only if $(\nabla_D^{-1}\delta)\alpha_H$ is divisible by α_H^{m+2} . $\square$*

By induction with $\mathcal{H}^1 = D^1(\mathcal{A})^W$, Lemma 6 indicates

$$\mathcal{H}^k = \nabla_D^{-k+1} D^1(\mathcal{A})^W \subset D^{2k+1}(\mathcal{A})^W. \quad (7)$$

The converse is also true, which will be proved in §4.

We denote by $E := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ the Euler vector field. Note that E is contained in $D^1(\mathcal{A})^W$, $\nabla_{\delta} E = \delta$ and $\nabla_E \delta = (\deg \delta) \delta$ for any homogeneous vector field $\delta \in \text{Der}_V$. By Theorem 3, we have a “universal” vector field $\nabla_D^{-k} E$.

As in §1, let $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be a multiplicity and assume that $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{\ell} \in D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})})$ be a free basis of the multiarrangement $\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})}$.

Theorem 7 *Under the above hypothesis, $\nabla_{\delta_1} \nabla_D^{-k} E, \dots, \nabla_{\delta_{\ell}} \nabla_D^{-k} E$ form a free basis of $D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m}+2k)})$.*

Proof.

Let $\delta \in D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})})$, we first prove $\nabla_{\delta} \nabla_D^{-k} E \in D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m}+2k)})$. From (7), $\nabla_D^{-k} E \in D^{2k+1}(\mathcal{A})$, we may assume

$$(\nabla_D^{-k} E) \alpha = \alpha^{2k+1} f \quad (8)$$

for $\alpha = \alpha_H$, ($H \in \mathcal{A}$). Applying δ to the both sides of (8), we have

$$(\nabla_{\delta} \nabla_D^{-k} E) \alpha = \alpha^{2k} ((2k+1)(\delta \alpha) f + \alpha(\delta f)). \quad (9)$$

Since $\delta \alpha$ is divisible by α with multiplicity $\tilde{m}(H) \leq 1$, hence $(\nabla_{\delta} \nabla_D^{-k} E) \alpha$ is divisible by $\alpha^{\tilde{m}(H)+2k}$.

Here we recall G. Ziegler’s criterion on freeness of multiarrangements.

Theorem 8 [8] *Let $\tilde{m} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be a multiplicity and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\ell} \in D(\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})})$ be homogeneous and linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}[V]$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{(\tilde{m})}$ is free with basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\ell}$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \deg \delta_i = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \tilde{m}(H).$$

□

We compute the degrees of $\nabla_{\delta_1} \nabla_D^{-k} E, \dots, \nabla_{\delta_{\ell}} \nabla_D^{-k} E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \deg(\nabla_{\delta_i} \nabla_D^{-k} E) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (kh + \deg \delta_i) \\ &= kh\ell + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \deg \delta_i, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $h = \deg P_{\ell}$ is the Coxeter number. On the other hand, the sum of multiplicities is

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} (\tilde{m}(H) + 2k) = 2k|\mathcal{A}| + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \tilde{m}(H) \quad (11)$$

The assumption implies $\sum_{H \in \mathcal{A}} \tilde{m}(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \deg \delta_i$ and because of (3), we conclude that (10) coincides with (11). □

4 Some conclusions

Lemma 9 $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial P_i}} D^{2k+1}(\mathcal{A})^W \subset D^{2k-1}(\mathcal{A})^W$ ($k > 0$).

Proof.

We only prove for $i = \ell$, remaining cases can be proved similarly. It is sufficient to show that $(\nabla_D \delta)_{\alpha_{H_0}}$ has no poles for any $\delta \in D^{2k+1}(\mathcal{A})^W$ and $H_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. By (6), $QD\delta_{\alpha_{H_0}}$ can be divided by α_{H_0} , so all we have to show is that $QD\delta_{\alpha_{H_0}}$ is divisible by $\beta := \alpha_{H'}$ for all $H' \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H_0\}$. We denote by $s_\beta \in W$ the reflection with respect to the hyperplane $H' \subset V$, then $s_\beta(\alpha)$ is expressed in the form $s_\beta(\alpha) = \alpha + 2c\beta$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Apply s_β to the function $QD\delta\alpha$, since D and δ are W -invariant, and $s_\beta(Q) = -Q$,

$$s_\beta(QD\delta\alpha) = -QD\delta\alpha - 2cQD\delta\beta.$$

by using the equation $s_\beta(QD\delta\beta) = QD\delta\beta$, we have

$$s_\beta(QD\delta\alpha + cQD\delta\beta) = -(QD\delta\alpha + cQD\delta\beta).$$

So $QD\delta\alpha + cQD\delta\beta$ is divisible by β , but from the first half of this proof, $cQD\delta\beta$ is divisible by β , and the other term $QD\delta\alpha$ is also divisible by β . $\square$

As a consequence of induction, we have

Corollary 10 [6] $\mathcal{H}^k = D^{2k+1}(\mathcal{A})^W$. $\square$

Finally, we apply Theorem 1 to $\tilde{m} \equiv 0$ or $\tilde{m} \equiv 1$, since both $D^0(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Der}_V$ and $D^1(\mathcal{A})$ are free, we obtain

Corollary 11 [5] $D^m(\mathcal{A})$ is free for all $m \geq 0$. $\square$

Acknowledgement. I am grateful to Professor Kyoji Saito and Professor Hiroaki Terao for their useful comments.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4,5 et 6, Hermann, Paris 1968
- [2] K. Saito, On a linear structure of the quotient variety by a finite reflexion group. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **29**, 535–579 (1993)
- [3] K. Saito, Finite reflexion group and related geometry (A motivation to the period mapping for primitive forms). Lecture note, RIMS, Kyoto university(2000)
- [4] K. Saito, The polyhedron dual to the chamber decomposition for a finite Coxeter group. (Preprint).

- [5] H. Terao, Multiderivations of Coxeter arrangements. *Invent. Math.*, **148**, 659–674 (2002)
- [6] H. Terao, The Hodge filtration and contact-order filtration of derivations of Coxeter arrangements. (math.CO/0205058)(Preprint).
- [7] M. Yoshinaga, On the relative de Rham cohomology of adjoint quotient maps. Master's thesis, Kyoto university (Japanese).
- [8] G. M. Ziegler, Multiarrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness. *Singularities* (Iowa City, IA, 1986), 345–359, *Contemp. Math.*, 90, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.